ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET						
	ROUTING	3 AND	RECORI	D SHEET		
SUBJECT: (Optional)						
Technological	Surprise	STAP	Working	Group Report		
FR			EXTENSION	NO. STAP 88-0004		
Chairman, STAP		. : [		DATE		
5114.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1				3 February 1988		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom		
Jonana,	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
1. C/STAP						
2.						
3.						
4.		·				
. 5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
1 <b>1.</b>						
12.						
13.						
14.						
<b>15.</b>						

STAT

STAT STAT

610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

FORM 1-79

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

## DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Science and Technology Advisory Panel

STAP 88-0004 3 February 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence
VIA:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Director, Intelligence Community Staff
SUBJECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
question of how technological sudefining the kin main lines of in the Intelligence examination of sadvances. The fetailed list of substantive area	This memorandum reports the findings of a STAP working group that examined the intelligence could be enhanced to reduce the likelihood of arprise, with particular emphasis on the Soviet Union. After adds of surprise that can occur, the working group followed two aquiry: a review of the organizational structure and process a Community uses to study technological issues; and an some key substantive areas that are likely to see technological findings of the group are summarized in this report. A procedural recommendations (Attachment A) and a survey of as for emphasis (Attachment B) are attached.  Surprise Because of its dramatic effect in combat, we are to conceive of surprise in the sense suggested by the Trojan darbor, a sense that limits our perspective to an immediate
cause and effect proader context, Innovations in m machine gun, the warfare and the range of develor no single way of	But it is no less essential to examine surprise in a to look at the means as well as the conduct of warfare. Inilitary technology—such as the longbow, gunpowder, the long-range missile, and so on—have changed the face of political map. The history of these innovations illustrates a longent paths, and underscores the important point that there is thinking about surprise. Analysts must be aware of the lates by which surprise can occur.
scienti unilate fission	ientific Surprise Surprise here most nearly equates to ific notions of "discovery." Most dramatic would be the eral discovery of a new scientific principle, like nuclear n or stimulated emission, whose military applications would be ecret until a surprise attack—an unlikely event. Given the reach of science, it is difficult to predict a comprehensive
range o	of areas that could prove troubling.

SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

probabilit novel comb uses. At principles unanticipa neutron ca World War feasipilit	ological Innovation Equally high stakes, at somewhat higher ty, are associated with the technological development or coination of established scientific principles for military issue are both the exploitation of new scientific and the integration of different technologies in ated ways. For example, the fission of atomic nuclei by apture was a publicly available scientific fact just before II. The program to develop the technology for a ty demonstration of a nuclear weapon was not (although it acquired by Soviet espionage).	
US and the significar identified important	ding of New Military Systems Many divergences between the e Soviets in this category are already known, but their nce may not yet be fully appreciated; others remain to be d. In organizing efforts to avert surprise, it will be to focus careful attention on identifying potential asures to our existing systems. In many cases we are well the technologies that might be applicable	
US and the significar identified important countermed aware of the surprise to expended to again, the	e Soviets in this category are already known, but their nce may not yet be fully appreciated; others remain to be d. In organizing efforts to avert surprise, it will be to focus careful attention on identifying potential asures to our existing systems. In many cases we are well	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

SUBJECT	: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
category terroris to pred efforts technic	mportant area of concern. An emerging concern should be noted in this ythe potential for application of more sophisticated technologies by st groups. A final consideration that may tend to confound our ability ict technological advances is the part played by Soviet espionage, especially those directed at covert acquisition of technology and al information. As we have seen, system development times can be cantly shortened by such methods.
avert to various and org	Responding to the Possibility of Surprise A program to anticipate and echnological surprise should have several dimensions because of the forms that surprise may take. What follows is a survey of conceptual anizational steps that would enhance the intelligence effort. The y behind the recommendations has three parts:
0	Increase awareness, emphasis, and continuity within the Intelligence Community on technological surprise considerations.
0	Improve contact and communication between the Intelligence Community and policymakers to enhance prospects for early action to counter potential surprises and to identify areas where surprises may be particularly worrisome. This is especially relevant to military applications of technology and the fielding of new military systems
· · · · · ·	a. <u>Conceptual Recommendations</u>
	(1) Review of US R&D efforts We would do well to review, systematically, US military technology development programs, including proposals for development that have not been pursued.
	(This approach will require a high standard of cooperation between intelligence and DoD and Service Research and Development organizations, especially with respect to highly classified programs, which will raise difficult questions of access.) Technology application programs should be reviewed to determine:
	o Their potential in some circumstances to do us serious harm

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

0

were they successfully developed by the Soviets.

The Soviet technological capacity to undertake the

necessary development, acquisition, and deployment.

An intelligence assessment of the real and potential indicators of their current status in the USSR.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0	25X1
	25X1
SUBJECT: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	
We should also scan our vulnerabilities with these same	
questions in mind, particularly with respect to potential countermeasures to currently programmed US systems	25X1 25X1
Beyond this, it will be important to have a small, highly	
creative effort to identify technological innovations that, though clearly inappropriate for the US, might be rewarding for the USSR.	25X1
The	
use of high technology in warfare could produce disastrous surprises if we rely on constraints that may be of a political	
rather than a technical nature, for example, disarmament treaties, non-proliferation agreements, or expectations of a	
country's intentions.	25X1 25X1
	23/1
lechnology	25X1
developed in third countries (not just the US and USSR) should	
not be neglected, and attention should be paid to the fact that surprise implications are not limited to military issues;	
economic implications are also important (as in the case, for example, of fusion).	25X1
	25X1
	2.5X1
It is not enough, however, to grasp the potential for surprise; it is as important to increase the awareness of those who	25X1
must act on that potential. A list of recommendations that would	
accomplish these objectives at very little cost is shown in	25X1
5. Substantive Areas where Surprises May Occur Although implementation of the above recommendations is believed to be the most important action	
needed to reduce the chance that another Sputnik, ALFA-Class Submarine, or	•
haliques it would also be useful to identity key dreas where interrigence	
attention should be concentrated. These areas include technological opportunities that may be exploited in ways that would have significance for	
Oppor curreres criat may be expressed.	25X1
SECRET	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

SUBJECT: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	
military capabilities, the civilian economy or its institutions, public perception, or political relations in the next 10-20 years. Most scientific or technical intelligence analysts either are aware of these opportunities or are likely to become so within a few years. The Panel's purpose is to heighten those analysts' awareness of the possible implications and sensitize them to activities in the identified fields earlier than might otherwise occur.	
6. The specific areas and their extrapolations were selected as a result of interviews with leading scientists and engineers, active in research, development, or management. Those interviewed were not constrained to limit their ideas to their own fields of activity or expertise. They were, however, asked to think in terms of reduction to application within the next 10-20 years. Would it be reasonable, for example, to believe that builders and users could plan, design, and construct systems or components incorporating the technology in question with a fair degree of confidence in availability and reliability?	
7. In several instances, the question is not one of developing and applying a new technology, but rather applying an existing technology either in an innovative waynot previously seen or thought likely or feasible, or in a well understood mannerto achieve a goal not previously attained. Again, in some cases, it is not a new technology but the ramifications of extensive application of an existing technology which has been illuminated. Although not the exclusive target, the USSR was clearly the country of primary concern for matters of political or military import.	
8. A list of some of the technologies that the working group believes should bear increased scrutiny is attached (Attachment B). Others will occur to the reader or will be derived from the procedural suggestions noted above. These are included simply to initiate the necessary thought-process. The main application areas are in:	
9. As an aside it is worth pointing out that one knowledgeable observer of the Soviet political and scientific scene suggested that despite apparent changes in atmosphere in the USSR, including the stress on "glasnost", activities in R&D institutions will not change much in the foreseeable future. There will be younger institute directors, and some relaxation of	
<b></b>	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06: CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

: Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0	
SUBJECT: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	
constraints on communication, but most things, including the areas being worked, will go on as before.	
10. We intend to continue working closely with Community S&T officers to reduce the likelihood of surprise, and would be happy to discuss any of these issues with you in further detail if you wish	
Attachments: A. Procedural Recommendations B. Some Technologies and Substantive Areas for Emphasis	

6

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Technological Surprise	e - STAP Working Group Report	
DISTRIBUTION: (STAP 88-0004)		
Copy 1 - DCI 2 - DDCI 3 - ER File 4 - D/ICS 5 - DD/ICS		
6 - DDR&E/ICS 7 - C/STAP 8 - NIO/S&T (Nall) 9 - D/OSWR		
10 - DD/OSW 11 - C/STIC 12 - STAP Subject 13 - STAP Chrono 14 - ICS Registry		

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

